

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/713,504	11/14/2003	Emily Yixie Shu	42P15681D	9962
7590 06/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Michael A. Bernadicou			KIM, PETER B	
BLAKELY, SC Seventh Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/713,504	10/713,504 SHU, EMILY YIXIE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter B. Kim	2851	ليهم ا			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears n the cover	sheet with the corresp ndence	address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statu re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afte ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, incation. days, a reply within the statutory mining tory period will apply and will expire Sill, by statute, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered t IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nis communication.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>04 May 2004</i> .					
′=		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 10-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 10-17 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	=	•	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to the	·	* ' '	` '			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been recein ocuments have been recein the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nation a)).	nal Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P' r No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) TO/SB/08) 5) ☐ N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Datel lotice of Informal Patent Application (l other:	PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 18-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 4, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Micro Lithography, Inc. (MLI) (http://www.mliusa.com/products.htm#top).

MLI discloses in the web site, an apparatus comprising a pellicle fused to a frame using a laser; and a reticle attached to the frame without using an adhesive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MLI.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,504 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

MLI discloses the claimed invention as discussed above. Although MLI does not disclose fusing of the frame to the reticle, since MLI teaches fusing of the pellicle to a frame in order to keep the pellicle and frame clean, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fuse the frame also to the reticle in order to keep the system with pellicle, frame and the reticle clean. Although MLI does not disclose the order of fusing of the pellicle and the reticle, it would be obvious to fuse the pellicle, the frame and reticle in any order since the end result is both the pellicle and the reticle fused to the frame. Although MLI does not explicitly teach the type of laser used to fuse the pellicle, the frame and the reticle, MLI teaches using a laser. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize different type of laser including infrared laser or a CO2 laser in order to fuse the pellicle, the frame and the reticle.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,504 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter B. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851